1	RICHARD M. FRANCO (CBN 170970)		
2	LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO 6500 Estates Drive		
3	Oakland, CA 94611		
4	Ph: 510-684-1022 Email: rick@rfrancolaw.com		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff		
6	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.		
7	PEG CAREW TOLEDO		
8	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor		
9	San Francisco, CA 94111		
10	Ph: (415) 471-3110 Email: Peg.Toledo@arnoldporter.com		
11	Attorney for Defendants	•	
12	PERFICIO NETWORKS, INC. and VIVACITY	, INC.	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14			
15	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
16	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a California non-profit	CASE NO. RG20067780	
17	corporation,	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	
18	Plaintiff,		
19	VS.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.	
20	PERFICIO NETWORKS, INC., a	Action Filed: July 15, 2020 Trial Date: None set	
21	California corporation, and VIVACITY, INC., a California corporation,	,	
22	Defendants.		
23	Definition.		
24	1. INTRODUCTION		
25	1.1 On July 15, 2020, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a		
26	non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by		
27	filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint")		
28	pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq.		
	Page 1 of 17		
	STIPULATED CONSE	INT JUDGMENT Case No. RG2006778	

Case No. RG20067780

("Proposition 65"), against Perficio Networks, Inc. and Vivacity, Inc. (collectively, "VIVACITY"). In this action, ERC alleges that certain products manufactured, distributed, or sold by VIVACITY contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are: (1) YOR Supergreens; (2) YOR Shake Chocolate; (3) YOR Shake Vanilla; and (4) YOR Essential Vitamin.

- 1.2 ERC and VIVACITY are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 ERC alleges that each defendant is a business entity each of which has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. VIVACITY manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated April 9, 2020 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and VIVACITY ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated April 9, 2020 is attached hereto as *Exhibit A* and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and VIVACITY and no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against Viviacity with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products by California consumers exposes them to lead without first receiving clear and reasonable warnings from VIVACITY, which is in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. VIVACITY denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.

- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.

 Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which ERC electronically serves Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment on VIVACITY.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over VIVACITY as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

- 3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, VIVACITY shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products that expose a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in

California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that VIVACITY knows will sell the Covered Product in California.

3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If VIVACITY is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, one of the following warnings must be utilized ("Warnings"):

OPTION 1

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including [lead] which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

For Option 1, VIVACITY shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the testing methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if another Proposition 65 chemical is present at a level requiring a cancer warning.

OPTION 2

VIVACITY may elect to use the following short-form Warning:

⚠ WARNING: [Cancer and] Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov
For Option 2, VIVACITY shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if the "Daily
Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the testing
methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if another Proposition 65 chemical is present at a level
requiring a cancer warning.

For Option 2, the entire Warning must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type

size used for other consumer information on the product. "Consumer information" includes warnings, directions for use, ingredient lists, and nutritional information. "Consumer information" does not include the brand name, product name, company name, location of manufacture, or product advertising. *See* Title 27, Cal. Code Regs., § 25600.1(c) (2020). In no case shall the Warning appear in a type size smaller than six (6) point type. In addition for Option 2, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline shall be placed to the left of the text of the Warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING." Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. If the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") promulgates regulations modifying the text for short-form warnings on foods, VIVACITY may implement such text so long as the text, as modified, is applicable to the Covered Products.

Regardless of whether Option 1 or Option 2 is used, the Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the label of each Covered Product and it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box that contains no other information. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet, the Warning shall be displayed as follows:

(a) on the primary display page for the Covered Product; (b) as a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" in all capital and bold letters on the Covered Product's primary display page, so long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the Warning without content that detracts from the Warning; (c) on the checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product; however, an asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning; or (d) by otherwise prominently displaying the Warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. No Warning is considered prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on VIVACITY's website or on the label and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. The Warning may contain supplemental information

only to the extent that it identifies the source of the exposure or provides information on how to avoid or reduce exposure to the identified chemical or chemicals.

VIVACITY must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label, or on its website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "label" means a display of written, printed or graphic material that is printed on or affixed to a Covered Product or its immediate container or wrapper.

3.3 Conforming Covered Products

A Conforming Covered Product is a Covered Product for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the testing methodology described in Section 3.4.

3.4 Testing Methodology

3.4.1 Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, VIVACITY shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three (3) consecutive years by arranging for testing of one (1) randomly selected sample of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which VIVACITY intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of the three (3) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, VIVACITY changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, VIVACITY shall test that Covered Product annually for at least two (2) consecutive years after such change is made.

3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the lead detection result of the one (1) randomly selected sample of the Covered Products will be

controlling.

- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection and limit of quantification, sensitivity, accuracy and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.
- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- 3.4.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit VIVACITY's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.6 Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, VIVACITY shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. VIVACITY shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test. ERC's right to obtain any particular lab report under this subsection shall expire three (3) years after the date such lab report was issued.
- 3.4.7 The testing requirements of this Section 3.4 do not apply to any Covered Product for which VIVACITY has provided a Warning in compliance with Section 3.2 continuously and uninterrupted after the Effective Date; however, in the event VIVACITY ceases to provide a Warning on a Covered Product in compliance with Section 3.2, VIVACITY shall be required to comply with the testing requirements of this section beginning immediately after the date the Warning ceases to be provided or one year after the Effective Date, whichever date is later.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement

payments, attorney's fees, and costs, VIVACITY shall make a total payment of \$37,500.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC within ten (10) days of the Effective Date ("Due Date"). VIVACITY shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's account, for which ERC will give VIVACITY the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:

- 4.2 \$9,700.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$7,275.00) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$2,425.00) of the civil penalty.
- 4.3 \$1,877.89 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$7,268.40 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by VIVACITY in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to

ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment;

(2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a self-testing program for lead in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty (30) days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- 4.5 \$10,935.00 shall be distributed to Richard M. Franco as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$7,718.71 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
- 4.6 In the event that VIVACITY fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date, VIVACITY shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to VIVACITY via electronic mail. If VIVACITY fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) business days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate

provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, VIVACITY agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- VIVACITY must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to VIVACITY within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies VIVACITY in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to VIVACITY a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- 5.3 In the event that VIVACITY initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, VIVACITY shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application. If the modifications to the Consent Judgment are minor changes to the injunctive provisions (Sections 3.1-3.4) to allow VIVACITY to warn using methods and language that are authorized under any amendments to the Proposition 65 statute and regulations applicable to the Covered Products, ERC will have no right to recover its costs

JUDGMENT

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT

6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Conforming Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform VIVACITY in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit VIVACITY to identify the Covered Products at issue. VIVACITY shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating VIVACITY's compliance with the Consent Judgment. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and that is not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and VIVACITY and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of VIVACITY), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest,

hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including the Effective Date.

- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, and VIVACITY on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in this Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties and that one Party may have against the other will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and VIVACITY on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up to and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and VIVACITY acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

ERC on behalf of itself only, and VIVACITY on behalf of itself only, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to

portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and approve this Consent Judgment.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	$2 \parallel$	
3	The same of the sa	MENTAL RESEARCH
4	CENTER,	Entre Lates
5	By:	ms Lx cutive Director
6	*	110
7	Dated: August 7th , 2020 PERFICIO	NETWORKS, INC.
8		2
9	By. It's	
10		dent
11		
12	Dated:, 2020 VIVACIII	, INC.
13		
14	By: Iris C	hau
15 16	its: Fresic	lent
17		
18	,	
19	Dated: <u>8///</u> , 2020 LAW OFF	FICE OF RICHARD M.
20	ranco	KIN A/Ha)
21	By:	rd M. Franco
22	Attorn	ey for Plaintiff Environmental
23		arch Center, Inc.
24	· I	& PORTER KAYE SCHOLER
25	LLP	
26	By:/	tee Cow Jold
27	Peg Ca	rew Toledo
28	Attorne Vivacit	ys for Perficio Networks, Inc. and y, Inc.
		9- V
	Page 16 of 17 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGM	ENT Case No. RG20067780

ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED. Judge of the Superior Court

Page 17 of 17
STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG20067780